arXiv:1701.01950v2 [math.FA] 2 Feb 2017

A NEW ESTIMATE FOR THE CONSTANTS OF AN INEQUALITY DUE TO HARDY AND LITTLEWOOD

ANTONIO GOMES NUNES

Abstract. In this paper we provide a family of inequalities, extending a recent result due to Albuquerque *et al.*

1. Introduction

The Hardy–Littlewood inequalities [12] for m–linear forms and polynomials (see [2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 15, 17]) are perfect extensions of the Bohnenblust–Hille inequality [6] when the sequence space c_0 is replaced by the sequence space ℓ_p. These inequalities assert that for any integer $m \geq 2$ there exist constants $C^{\mathbb{K}}_{m,p}, D^{\mathbb{K}}_{m,p} \geq 1$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{j_1,\cdots,j_m=1}^{\infty} |T(e_{j_1},\cdots,e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C^{\mathbb{K}}_{m,p} \|T\|, \tag{1.1}$$

when $2m \leq p \leq \infty$, and

$$\left(\sum_{j_1,\cdots,j_m=1}^{\infty} |T(e_{j_1},\cdots,e_{j_m})|^{\frac{p}{p-m}} \right)^{\frac{p-m}{p}} \leq D^{\mathbb{K}}_{m,p} \|T\|,$$

when $m < p \leq 2m$, for all continuous m–linear forms $T : \ell_p \times \cdots \times \ell_p \to \mathbb{K}$ (here, and henceforth, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$). Both exponents are optimal, *i.e.*, cannot be smaller without paying the price of a dependence on n arising on the respective constants. Following usual convention in the field, c_0 is understood as the substitute of ℓ_∞ when the exponent p goes to infinity.

The investigation of the optimal constants of the Hardy–Littlewood inequalities is closely related to the fashionable, mysterious and puzzling investigation of the optimal Bohnenblust–Hille inequality constants (see, for instance [15] and the references therein).

In this note we extend the following result of [1, Theorem 3]:

Theorem 1 (Albuquerque et al.)**.** *Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer and $m < p \leq 2m-2$. Then, for all continuous m-linear forms $T : \ell_p \times \cdots \times \ell_p \to \mathbb{K}$, we have*

$$\left(\sum_{j_i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n} |T(e_{j_1},\ldots,e_{j_m})|^{\frac{p}{p-(m-1)}} \right)^{\frac{p-(m-1)}{p}\cdot\frac{p}{p-m}} \right)^{\frac{p-m}{p}} \leq 2^{\frac{(m-1)(p-m+1)}{p}} \|T\|.$$

More precisely, using a different technique we find a family of inequalities extending the above result. Our result reads as follows, where A_{λ_0} is the optimal constant of the Khinchin inequality (defined in Section 2):

Key words and phrases. Optimal constants, Hardy–Littlewood inequality.
Partially supported by Capes.
MSC2010: 46G25.

Theorem 2. *If* $\lambda_0 \in [1,2]$ *and*

$$\lambda_0 m < p \leq \frac{2\lambda_0 (m-1)}{2-\lambda_0},$$

then

$$\left(\sum_{j_i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n} |T(e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}\eta_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta_1}} \leq A_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{-2(m-1)}{s}} \|T\|$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= \frac{\lambda_0 p}{p - \lambda_0 m}, \\ s &= \frac{\lambda_0 p}{p - \lambda_0 m + \lambda_0}, \end{aligned}$$

all m*-linear forms* $T : \ell_p^n \times \cdots \times \ell_p^n \to \mathbb{K}$*, and all positive integers* n*.*

Our main technique is based on an original argument developed in [1], with some slight technical changes.

2. The proof of Theorem 2

Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer, F be a Banach space, $A \subset I_m := \{1, \ldots, m\}, p_1, \ldots, p_m, s, \alpha \geq 1$ and

$$B_{p_1,\ldots,p_m}^{A,s,\alpha,F,n} := \inf \left\{ C(n) \, : \, \left(\sum_{j_i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n} \|T(e_{j_1}, \ldots, e_{j_m})\|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq C(n), \text{ for all } i \in A \right\},$$

in which $\widehat{j_i}$ means that the sum runs over all indexes but j_i, and the infimum is taken over all norm-one m-linear operators $T : \ell_{p_1}^n \times \cdots \times \ell_{p_m}^n \to F$. We begin by recalling the following lemma proved in [1]:

Lemma 1. *Let* $1 \leq p_k < q_k \leq \infty$, $k = 1, \ldots, m$ *and* $\lambda_0, s \geq 1$*. If*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j} \right) < \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \quad \text{and} \quad s \geq \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_0} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j} \right) \right]^{-1} =: \eta_2 \tag{2.1}$$

then

$$B_{p_1,\ldots,p_m}^{\{m\},s,\eta_1,F,n} \leq B_{q_1,\ldots,q_m}^{I_m,s,\lambda_0,F,n},$$

where

$$\eta_1 := \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_0} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j} \right) \right]^{-1}$$

We also need to recall the Khinchin inequality: for any $0 < q < \infty$, there are positive constants A_q, B_q such that regardless of the positive integer n and of the scalar sequence $(a_j)_{j=1}^n$ we have

$$A_q \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j r_j(t) \right|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq B_q \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where r_j are the classical Rademacher functions (random variables).

The best constants A_q are the following ones (see [11]):

- $A_q = \sqrt{2}\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+q}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ if $q > q_0 \cong 1.85$;
- $A_q = 2^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}}$ if $q < q_0$,

where $q_0 \in (1,2)$ is the only real number such that $\Gamma\left(\frac{p_0+1}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$. For complex scalars, using Steinhaus variables instead of Rademacher functions it is well known that a similar inequality holds, but with better constants. In this case the optimal constant is

- $A_q = \Gamma\left(\frac{q+2}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ if $q \in [1,2]$.

The notation of the constants A_q above will be used in all this paper. The following result is a variant of [1], and is based on the Contraction Principle (see [8, Theorem 12.2]). From now on $r_i(t)$ are the Rademacher functions.

Lemma 2. *Regardless of the choice of the positive integers m, N and the scalars $a_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}$, $i_1,\ldots,i_m = 1,\ldots,N$,*

$$\max_{\substack{i_k=1,\ldots,N\\ k=1,\ldots,m}} |a_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}| \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]^m} \left|\sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m=1}^{N} r_{i_1}(t_1)\cdots r_{i_m}(t_m)a_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}\right|^t dt_1\cdots dt_m\right)^{1/t}$$

for all $t \geq 1$.

Proof. The proof is an adaptation of an argument used in [1]. Essentially, we have to use the Contraction Principle inductively. The case $m = 1$ is nothing else than the standard version of Contraction Principle (see [8, Theorem 12.2]). For all positive integers $i_1,\ldots,i_m$,

$$\left(\int_{[0,1]^m} \left|\sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m=1}^{N} r_{i_1}(t_1)\cdots r_{i_m}(t_m)a_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}\right|^t dt_1\cdots dt_m\right)^{1/t}$$

$$= \left(\int_{[0,1]^{m-1}} \left[\left(\int_0^1 \left|\sum_{i_1=1}^{N} r_{i_1}(t_1)\left(\sum_{i_2,\ldots,i_m=1}^{N} r_{i_2}(t_2)\cdots r_{i_m}(t_m)a_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}\right)\right|^t dt_1\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}\right]^t dt_2\cdots dt_m\right)^{1/t}$$

$$\geq \left(\int_{[0,1]^{m-1}} \left|\sum_{i_2,\ldots,i_m=1}^{N} r_{i_2}(t_2)\cdots r_{i_m}(t_m)a_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}\right|^t dt_2\cdots dt_m\right)^{1/t}$$

$$\geq |a_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}|,$$

where we used the Contraction Principle and the induction hypothesis on the first and second inequalities, respectively. This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

Now we are able to complete the proof. Let $S : \ell_\infty^n \times \cdots \times \ell_\infty^n \to \mathbb{K}$ be an m-linear form and consider

$$s = \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_0} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}\right)\right]^{-1} \geq 2$$

and

$$\lambda_0 \in [1,2].$$

Since $s \geq 2$, from Lemma 2, Hölder's inequality and Khinchin's inequality for multiple sums ([16]), choosing $\theta = 2/s$ we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{j_1=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_1}=1}^{n}\left|S\left(e_{j_1},\ldots,e_{j_m}\right)\right|^{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{j_1=1}^{n}\left(\left(\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_1}=1}^{n}\left|S\left(e_{j_1},\ldots,e_{j_m}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\theta}\left(\max_{\widehat{j_1}}\left|S\left(e_{j_1},\ldots,e_{j_m}\right)\right|\right)^{1-\theta}\right)^{\lambda_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{j_1=1}^{n}\left(\left(A_{\lambda_0}^{-(m-1)}R_n\right)^{\frac{2}{s}}R_n^{1-\frac{2}{s}}\right)^{\lambda_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}$$

$$= A_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{-2(m-1)}{s}}\left(\sum_{j_1=1}^{n}\int_{[0,1]^{m-1}}\left|\sum_{\widehat{j_1}=1}^{n}r_{j_2}(t_2)\cdots r_{j_m}(t_m)S\left(e_{j_1},\ldots,e_{j_m}\right)\right|^{\lambda_0}dt_2\cdots dt_m\right)^{1/\lambda_0}$$

$$= A_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{-2(m-1)}{s}}\left(\int_{[0,1]^{m-1}}\sum_{j_1=1}^{n}\left|S\left(e_{j_1},\sum_{j_2=1}^{n}r_{j_2}(t_2)e_{j_2},\ldots,\sum_{j_m=1}^{n}r_{j_m}(t_m)e_{j_m}\right)\right|^{\lambda_0}dt_2\cdots dt_m\right)^{1/\lambda_0}$$

$$\leq A_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{-2(m-1)}{s}}\left(\sup_{t_2,\ldots,t_m\in[0,1]}\sum_{j_1=1}^{n}\left|S\left(e_{j_1},\sum_{j_2=1}^{n}r_{j_2}(t_2)e_{j_2},\ldots,\sum_{j_m=1}^{n}r_{j_m}(t_m)e_{j_m}\right)\right|^{\lambda_0}\right)^{1/\lambda_0}$$

$$\leq A_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{-2(m-1)}{s}}\left\|S\right\|,$$

where

$$R_n := \left(\int_{[0,1]^{m-1}}\left|\sum_{\widehat{j_1}=1}^{n}r_{j_2}(t_2)\cdots r_{j_m}(t_m)S\left(e_{j_1},\ldots,e_{j_m}\right)\right|^{\lambda_0}dt_2\cdots dt_m\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}}.$$

Repeating the same procedure for the other indexes we have

$$\left(\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}\left|S\left(e_{j_1},\ldots,e_{j_m}\right)\right|^{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}} \leq A_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{-2(m-1)}{s}}\left\|S\right\|$$

for all $i = 1, ..., m$. Hence, from Lemma 1, we conclude that

$$\left(\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}\left|T\left(e_{j_1},\ldots,e_{j_m}\right)\right|^{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\eta_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\eta_1}} \leq A_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{-2(m-1)}{s}}\left\|T\right\|$$

for all m-linear forms $T : \ell_p^n \times \cdots \times \ell_p^n \to \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n, where

$$\eta_1 := \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_0} - \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j}\right)\right]^{-1}.$$

We thus conclude that if

$$s = \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{m-1}{p}\right]^{-1} \geq 2$$

and

$$\lambda_0 \in [1,2]$$

with

$$p > \lambda_0 m$$

and

$$\eta_1 = \frac{\lambda_0 p}{p - \lambda_0 m},$$

then

$$\left(\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}\left|T\left(e_{j_1},\ldots,e_{j_m}\right)\right|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\eta_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\eta_1}} \leq A_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{-2(m-1)}{s}}\|T\|$$

for all m-linear forms $T : \ell_p^n \times \cdots \times \ell_p^n \to \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n. In other words, if

$$\lambda_0 \in [1,2]$$

with

$$\lambda_0 m < p \leq \frac{2\lambda_0\left(m-1\right)}{2-\lambda_0}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= \frac{\lambda_0 p}{p - \lambda_0 m}, \\ s &= \frac{\lambda_0 p}{p - \lambda_0 m + \lambda_0}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\left(\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}\left|T\left(e_{j_1},\ldots,e_{j_m}\right)\right|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\eta_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\eta_1}} \leq A_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{-2(m-1)}{s}}\|T\|$$

for all m-linear forms $T : \ell_p^n \times \cdots \times \ell_p^n \to \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n.

Remark 1. *If $\lambda_0 = 1$ then we get*

$$\left(\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}\left|T\left(e_{j_1},\ldots,e_{j_m}\right)\right|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\eta_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\eta_1}} \leq A_{1}^{\frac{-2(m-1)}{s}}\|T\|$$

with $m < p \leq 2m-2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \frac{p}{p-m+1}, \\ \eta_1 &= \frac{p}{p-m} \end{aligned}$$

and we recover [1, Theorem 3].

References

[1] N. Albuquerque, G. Araújo, M. Maia, T. Nogueira, D. Pellegrino, J. Santos, Optimal Hardy–Littlewood inequalities uniformly bounded by a universal constant, arXiv:1609.03081

[2] G. Araújo, D. Pellegrino, Lower bounds for the constants of the Hardy-Littlewood inequalities. Linear Algebra Appl. 463 (2014), 10–15.

[3] G. Araújo, D. Pellegrino, D. D. P. Silva e Silva, On the upper bounds for the constants of the Hardy–Littlewood inequality. J. Funct. Anal. **267**(6) (2014), 1878-1888.

[4] G. Araújo, D. Pellegrino, Lower bounds for the complex polynomial Hardy-Littlewood inequality. Linear Algebra Appl. 474 (2015), 184–191.

[5] G. Araújo, D. Pellegrino, Optimal Hardy-Littlewood type inequalities for m-linear forms on ℓ_p spaces with $1 \leq p \leq m$. Arch. Math. (Basel) 105 (2015), no. 3, 285–295.

[6] H. F. Bohnenblust, E. Hille, On the absolute convergence of Dirichlet series, Ann. of Math. **32** (1931), 600-622.

[7] W. Cavalcante and D. Núñez-Alarcón, Remarks on an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood, to appear in Quaest. Math. (2016).

[8] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, A. Tonge, Absolutely summing operators, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[9] V. Dimant, P. Sevilla–Peris, Summation of coefficients of polynomials on ℓ_p spaces, Publ. Mat. **60** (2016), no. 2, 289–310.

[10] D. J. H. Garling, Inequalites: A Journey into Linear Analysis, Cambridge University Press.

[11] U. Haagerup, The best constants in the Khinchine inequality, Studia Math. **70** (1982) 231–283.

[12] G. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, Bilinear forms bounded in space $[p, q]$, Quart. J. Math. **5** (1934), 241-254.

[13] D. Pellegrino, The optimal constants of the mixed (ℓ_1, ℓ_2)-Littlewood inequality. J. Number Theory 160 (2016), 11–18.

[14] D. Pellegrino, J. Santos, D. Serrano-Rodríguez, E.V. Teixeira, Regularity principle in sequence spaces and applications, arXiv:1608.03423 [math.CA].

[15] D. Pellegrino, E.V. Teixeira, Towards sharp Bohnenblust–Hille constants, arXiv:1604.07595v2, to appear in Comm. Contemp. Math.

[16] D. Popa, Multiple Rademacher means and their applications. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **386**(2) (2012), 699-708.

[17] T. Praciano-Pereira, On bounded multilinear forms on a class of ℓ_p spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **81**(2) (1981), 561-568.

(A.G. Nunes) Department of Mathematics - CCEN, UFERSA, Mossoró, RN, Brazil.
E-mail address: nunesag@gmail.com and nunesag@ufersa.edu.br